

Performance of Helix Piled Raft Foundation in Tropical Fibrous Peat Soil Under Traffic Loads

A. Ardy¹, S. Lawalenna¹, B.M. Ahmad¹, B. Eko¹, B.F. Andi¹

¹Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

E-mail: ardy.arsyad@unhas.ac.id

ABSTRACT: This paper presents the investigation of settlement based performance of helix piled raft foundation under traffic load through analytical and numerical methods. The analytical method is based on the concept of PDR analysis of piled raft where the stiffness of helix piled raft is computed from the stiffness of helix pile and raft with a certain helix piled – raft interaction factor. In this study, the stiffness of helix pile to peat soil is estimated by using a modified Randolph and Wroth equation, incorporating the mechanical behaviour of helix pile. On the other hand, the stiffness of raft is determined by using Richart et al equation. In the numerical model, 3-dimension FEM model of helix piled raft is undertaken in which helix pile is modeled as fixed end anchor, and raft as a plate above soft peat soil. The effect of helix pile number on the bearing capacity and settlement of helix piled raft foundation system is investigated. The results reveal that the stiffness of helix pile is too small compared to the stiffness of raft with the ratio from 1/6 to 1/4. The helix pile stiffness is much influenced by the number of helix and the radius of helix in a single helix pile. Since the stiffness of helix pile is small, such critical number of helix piles is needed to have effect on the foundation system. Below this number, helix pile is insignificant to have effect in the helix piled raft foundation system, and the elasticity of the raft plays important role. In general, however, it is obvious that the increasing number of helix piles can decrease the elastic settlement of helix piled raft foundation when it is subjected with traffic load. In the stiffness ratio of helix pile to raft at 1/6, the increasing number of helix pile can reduce the settlement up to 80%, whereas that in the stiffness ratio helix pile to raft at 1/4 can reduce the settlement by 65%. These findings would be beneficial for development of alternative helix piled raft foundation for road infrastructure in tropical fibrous peat soil.

Keywords: tropical fibrous peat soil, helix piled raft, stiffness, elastic settlement.

1. INTRODUCTION

Peat is a type of soil, composed of high contents of fibrous organic materials. This soil is changed and fossilized in wetlands under appropriate climatic (Edil and Dhowian, 1981; Munro, 2005). Peat soil has such problematic mechanical behaviour since it has low shear strength, high compressibility and high water content. These characteristics have contributed to subgrade problem in development road infrastructures with abundant peat soil deposits in most lowland areas in Indonesia such as East Sumatera, Central and South Kalimantan, and West Sulawesi.

Typical peat soil deposit is very thick, up to 30 meters. This condition has led to such difficulty in implementing several soil improvement methods such as soil replacement and piled geotextile reinforcement soil (Arsyad et al., 2013). Moreover, the application of PVD method is also difficult since the permeability of peat is about $1000 \times$ permeability of soft clay (Mesri and Ajlouni, 2007). Although the application of piled raft in peat soil is still challenging (Huat et al., 2014), very low undrained shear strength and creeps of peat soil necessitates very deep piles for obtaining sufficient bearing capacity (Kazemian et al., 2011).

Alternatif method of combining raft foundation with helix piles in peat soil is needed to be investigated. This is due to previous studies just focus on bearing capacity of helix pile in fibrous peat soil (Adi et al 2016; Parlan et al. 2016). Helix piled raft may present better performance compared to just only helix piles. Therefore, this study investigates the performance of helix piled raft foundation when it is loaded with traffic loaded, in tropical peat soil.

2. METHOD

2.1 Stiffness of Helix Pile

Screw Pile or helix pile is a pile foundation which consists of helices fixed to the shaft at specific spacing (Arup Geotechnics, 2005). Helix pile is used to compressive and lateral loadings with overturning moments (Schmidt and Nasr, 2004). Analysis used to estimate compressive and tensile bearing strength of helix pile in cohesive soils can be derived from a function of bearing strength of the end of the pile, helix plate bearing and the frictional resistance offered by the shaft-soil interface (Mooney et al., 1985; Narashima et al. 1993). The formulation of bearing capacity for compression is shown in Eq. 1.

$$Q_c = S_f (\pi D L_c) c_u + A_H c_u N_c + \pi d H_{eff} \alpha c_u \quad (1)$$

where Q_c is ultimate pile compressive capacity, S_f is spacing ratio factor; L_c is distance between top and bottom helical plates; A_H is area of the helix, α is adhesion factor, c_u is undrained shear strength of soil, N_c is compressive bearing capacity factor for cohesive soils, H_{eff} is effective length of pile above top helix ($H_{eff} = H - D$), D = diameter of helix plate.

Stiffness of helix pile to peat soil can be estimated with pile head load-settlement by using a modified model of Randolph and Wroth (1978). However, for helix pile, the model was modified to accommodate the behaviour of helix pile. Due to axial loading, soil below the helical plate, at soil along the cylindrical shear area, and along shaft pile will deform (Figure 1). For shallow helix pile, shaft resistance is too small compared to others resistance (Mohajeneri et al, 2016). As a result, the deformation along the shaft pile does not significantly contribute to pile settlement. The stiffness of helix pile can be determined based on deformation of soil below helical plate and the deformation of soil along cylindrical shear failure as expressed in Eq.2.

$$\frac{P_t}{G r_0^* w_t} = \left[\frac{4}{\eta(1-\nu)} + \frac{2\pi L_c \tanh(\mu L_c)}{\zeta r_0^* \mu L_c} \right] + \left[1 + \frac{4L_c \tanh(\mu L_c)}{\eta(1-\nu)\pi \lambda r_0^* \mu L_c} \right]^{-1} \quad (1)$$

$$\mu L_c = \frac{L_c}{r_0^*} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\xi \lambda}} \quad (2)$$

Where,

- P_t = pile load
- G = shear modulus of peat soil
- r_0^* = radius of cylindrical helix failure zone
- w_t = settlement of pile
- η = 1
- ν = Poisson ratio of peat soil,
- λ = Soil – helix pile stiffness ratio, E_p/G
- ζ = relation between the radius of influence of the helix pile and the radius of the helix plate, $\ln(r_m/r_0^*)$




Figure 1 Bearing capacity of helix pile under a compressive load, deformation patterns of helix pile in the upper and lower soil layers.

2.2 Stiffness of Helix Piled Raft and Load Sharing

Raft stiffness to peat soil was estimated by using Richart et al (1970), as described by Eq. 3.

$$Kr = \frac{Gs}{(1 - \nu_s)} \beta_z \sqrt{(4cd)} \quad (3)$$

where: G_s is the shear modulus of the soil; ν_s is the Poisson's ratio of the soil; and t is the thickness of the raft, and c and d are coefficient raft dimension.

Randolph (1994) introduced that piles are located strategically with the raft in order to reduce differential settlement. Load sharing between the raft and the piles can be estimated using simple method Randolph (1994), and Poulos and Davis (1980), shown in Eq. 4.

$$K_{pr} = \frac{1 - 0.6 \left(\frac{K_r}{K_{hp}} \right)}{1 - 0.64 \left(\frac{K_r}{K_{hp}} \right)} K_{hp} \quad (4)$$

Where k_{pr} is stiffness of piled raft; K_{hp} is stiffness of the helix pile group; k_r is stiffness of the raft alone, and β_{hp} is raft – pile interaction factor.

The proportion of the total applied load carried by the helix pile raft is in Eq. 5.

$$\beta_{hp} = \frac{1}{1 + a} \quad (5)$$

$$a = \frac{0.2}{1 - 0.8 \left(\frac{K_r}{K_{hp}} \right)} \left(\frac{K_r}{K_{hp}} \right)$$

Tri-linear curve was generated by computing mobilization of the helix pile capacity according Eq. 6.

$$P_1 = \frac{P_{up}}{\beta_{hp}} \quad (6)$$

$$P_{hp} = \beta_{hp} P \leq P_{up} \quad (7)$$

$$P_r = P - P_{hp} \quad (8)$$

where P_{hp} is load on helix piles, P_r is load on the raft, P_{up} is the ultimate bearing capacity of helix pile group.

3. RESULT

Analysis of bearing capacity and settlement of helix piled raft in peat soil under axial loading was conducted by incorporating modified Randolph and Wroth (1978) method into Randolph (1994), Poulos and Davis (1980). FEM analysis with PLAXIS 3D was also undertaken to comprehend the result.

3.1 The Stiffness of Helix Pile to Peat Soil

Estimation of the stiffness of helix pile to peat soil was undertaken for homogeneous peat soil. In this case, $\gamma = 7.94 \text{ kN/m}^3$, $c_u = 5 \text{ kPa}$, $E_{\text{peat}} = 150 \text{ kPa}$, $\nu = 0.15$ and $G = 65.12 \text{ kPa}$. The helix pile has 3 helices with spacing distance of 0.50 m, helix radius of 0.35 m, and length of 2 m. This has resulted in the stiffness of helix pile to peat soil at 334.612 kN/m. If this stiffness was compared to the experimental study based helix pile stiffness to peat soil (300 kN/m) as investigated by Parlan et al. (2016), the result is quite closed. It should be noted that the dimension of helix pile is similar between analytical and experimental, LLL50. In the case of LL30 model, the stiffness obtained through analytical method was found at 312,43 kN/m, which has relatively closed to experimental based helix pile stiffness at 269,23 kN/m.

The effect of number and radius of helices on the stiffness of helix pile were also examined. As shown Figure 2, the increase of number of helices in helix pile would increase its stiffness. In addition, the increase of helix radius also would increase helix pile stiffness (Figure 3). The stiffness of helix pile to peat soil depends on young modulus of peat soil. The larger young modulus of peat soil, the higher helix pile stiffness will be.




Figure 2 The effect of number of helices on the helix pile stiffness to peat soil.




Figure 3 The effect of helix radius on the helix pile stiffness to peat soil.

Raft stiffness was estimated in this study. For the raft with area of 100 m^2 , in peat soil with shear modulus of 65.217 kN/m^2 and ν of 0.15, is 1,687 kN/m. The raft stiffness increases as the dimension of the raft and the shear modulus of peat soil also increase. For the raft with area of 400 m^2 and the shear modulus at 173.913 kN/m^2 , the raft stiffness would be at 8,184 kN/m, and that with area of 900 m^2 , the stiffness is 12,276 kN/m.

3.2 Settlement of Helix Piled Raft in Peat Soil Under Traffic Load: Analytical Model

We analysed the effect of the number of helix piles on elastic settlement of helix piled raft foundation system. A segment of raft with dimension of 10 m × 10 m with a 0.2 m thick was modeled and subjected with truck loads of 65 tons. The raft lies over a very soft peat soil with soil properties ($E = 150$ kPa, $\nu = 0.15$ and $C_u = 5$ kPa). In the model, the helix pile stiffness was assumed at 300 kN/m. The result is shown in Figure 4. It is found that increasing number of helix pile would reduce the elastic settlement of the foundation system under traffic load. However, since the helix pile has a quite lower stiffness compared to the raft ($1/6$ to $1/4$), the effect of helix pile is only seen with minimum number of helix pile of about 12 piles. It means that below that quantity, there is no effect of helix pile and raft foundation is still working as a single foundation system without any support from helix pile.

In Figure 5, it can be seen that load sharing carried by helix piles is just 10% of the total load at the minimum number of 12 helix piles. This will increase as the number of helix piles increases. At the 25 helix piles under the raft foundation, the load sharing carried by helix pile achieves 65% (Figure 6). It should be noted that the ratio of a single helix pile stiffness to the raft stiffness is only 0.18 at a single pile, up to 0.89 at 25 helix piles.

If the raft dimension and the stiffness of helix pile increase, the elastic settlement would decrease. The more helix piles under the raft, the lower elastic settlement would be (Figure 5). It is obvious that there is critical number of helix piles where beyond this number, their effects on the elastic settlement seems to be unchanged. The critical number is much influenced by helix pile stiffness to peat soil. The larger helix pile stiffness to peat soil, the smaller critical number of helix piles required. The critical number of helix piles is about 10 piles when the helix pile stiffness at 900 kN/m, whereas that is about 21 piles when the helix pile stiffness at 400 kN/m to peat soil.

Figure 7 presents the effect of helix pile quantity on the elastic settlement of helix piled raft foundation system under traffic load, with larger helix pile stiffness and raft dimension. Similar results were found that the more helix piles, the lower elastic settlement of helix piled foundation would be. The elastic settlement becomes about 10 cm when 25 helix piles installed under raft foundation. Load sharing ratio has becomes significant at large stiffness of helix pile. It can be seen in Figure 6, helix pile stiffness of 3000 kN/m can support more than 50% of the total load, supported by only 2 helix piles installed under raft. Load sharing increases to 90% when 11 helix piles installed under raft. However, helix pile stiffness under 1000 kN/m, the load sharing ratio seems to be much lower than that for helix pile stiffness above 1000 kN/m.

3.3 Elastic Settlement of Helix Piled Raft in Peat Soil: FEM Model

3-dimension FEM modeling of helix piled raft foundation in peat soil was undertaken. There is two models of peat soil. First, soft peat soil was modeled in HS Model with a γ_{unsat} of 10 kN/m³, γ_{sat} of 12 kPa, E_{50} of 50 kPa, E_{oed} of 50 kPa, and E_{ur} of 200 kPa. The undrained cohesion is 1 kPa, with shear angle of 17.5°. Second is stiff peat soil which has γ_{unsat} of 10 kN/m³, γ_{sat} of 12 kPa, E_{50} of 400 kPa, E_{oed} of 400 kPa, and E_{ur} of 3600 kPa. In the second model, the undrained cohesion is 5 kPa, with shear angle of 27°. The helix pile was modeled as fixed end anchor with EA varies from 300 kN to 3000 kN in the first soft peat soil, and 1000 kN to 10000 kN in the second stiff peat soil. Meanwhile, raft foundation was modeled as plate with d of 0.20 m, γ of 25 kN/m³, and E of 2.1×10^6 kN/m², and ν of 0.15. The traffic load was modeled as surface load of 5,37 kN/m².




Figure 4 The effect of number of helix piles on the elastic settlement of helix piled raft foundation under traffic load with helix pile stiffness from 300 kN/m to 900 kN/m.




Figure 6 Load sharing ratio carried by helix piles at varies helix pile stiffness to peat soil.




Figure 7 The effect of number of helix piles on the elastic settlement of helix piled raft foundation under traffic load, with helix pile stiffness varies from 1000 kN/m to 1500 kN/m.

The effect of helix pile number on the elastic settlement of helix pile raft foundation was examined. It can be seen that, at the soft peat soil, the increase of helix pile number in the helix piled raft foundation system will decrease the elastic settlement of the foundation system (Figure 8). The larger helix pile stiffness generates the lower settlement of the helix piled raft foundation system. The EA of helix pile was found to be critical at 1500 kN. Beyond that EA, the effect was found to be constant. The critical number of helix pile is about 9 to 15 helix piles, depending on the helix pile stiffness.

In the stiff peat soil, the result seems to be a bit different (Figure 9). The helix pile number from 1 to 4 will yield similar elastic settlement, while the helix number from 4 to 9 generates significant reduction of elastic settlement. The EA of helix pile at 5000 kN was found to be upper bound, where beyond the EA, the effect of helix pile quantity on the elastic settlement becomes less significant.




Figure 8 The effect of number of helix piles on the elastic settlement of helix piled raft foundation obtained from FEM model, in very soft peat soil.




Figure 9 The effect of number of helix piles on the elastic settlement of helix piled raft foundation obtained from FEM model, in stiff peat soil.

4. CONCLUSION

- The increase of number of helix piles under raft foundation in peat soil can reduce the elastic settlement of the foundation from 65% to 80%.
- Critical number of helix pile is found at about 10 piles to 21 piles, depending of the stiffness of helix pile. Beyond this number, the reduction of elastic settlement is less significant. From FEM Model, the critical number of helix pile is from 9 to 15 piles, depending helix pile stiffness.
- Load sharing carried by helix pile varies from 10% (1 pile) to 65% (25 piles). This is influenced by the ratio stiffness of helix pile to raft. The larger stiffness ratio of helix pile to raft, the larger load sharing carried by helix pile will be.
- Further study is needed to investigate the mechanical behaviour of helix pile raft through experimental model and FEM with SSC model.

5. REFERENCES

- Arsyad, A., Hamid, W., Yusmin, A. (2014). Case study of reinforced embankment over a deep softsoil. *Proceedings of Softsoils*, 21(23rd).
- Arup Geotechnics, (2005). *Design of Screw Piles: Assessment of Pile Design Methodology*. Ove Arup & Partners Ltd, London.
- Edil T.B., Dhowian A.W. (1981). At-rest lateral pressure of peat soils. *Proc. Conf. Sediment. Consolidation Model*, ASCE, San Fransisco, pp. 411-424.
- Huat, B.B.K. Prasad, A., Asadi, A., Kazemian, S. (2014). *Geotechnics of organic soils and peat*. CRC Press, p.250.
- Islam S, Hashim R (2008b). Engineering properties of peat soils in peninsular Malaysia. *J. Appl. Sci.*, 8 (22).
- Kazemian, S., Huat, B., Prasad, A. and Barghchi, M., (2011). "A State of Art Review of Peat: Geotechnical Engineering Perspective", *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, Vol. 6(8), 2011, pp. 1974-1981.
- Munro, N. (2005). *Dealing With Bearing Capacity Problems on Low Volume Roads Constructed on Peat*. ROADEX II Northern Periphery.
- Mesri G., Ajlouni M. (2007). Engineering properties of fibrous peats. *J. Geotech. Geoenv. Eng.*, 133(7): 851-866.
- Mooney, J.S., Adamczak, S., Clemence, S.P., (1985). Uplift Capacity of Helix Anchors in Clay and Silt. *American Society of Civil Engineers* 48-72.
- Mohajerani, A., Bosnjak, D., Bromwich, D. (2016), Analysis and design methods of screw piles: A review, *Soils and Foundations*, Volume 56, Issue 1, Pages 115-128.
- Narasimha Rao, S., Prasad, Y.V.S.N., Veeresh, C., (1993). Behaviour of embedded screw anchors in soft clays. *Geotechnique* 43, 605-614.
- Nasr, M.H., 2009. Performance-based design for helical piles. In: *Contemporary Topics in Deep Foundations*. American Society of Civil Engineers, USA, pp. 496-503.
- Poulos, H.G., (2001) Piled raft foundations: design and applications, *Géotechnique* 51:2, 95-113
- Poulos, H.G., and Davis, E.H. (1980). *Pile Foundation, Analysis and Design*. University of Sidney.
- Richart, F.E., Jr., J. R. Hall, Jr. R. D. Woods. Richart, F. E. (Frank Edwin), (1970). *Vibrations of soils and foundations*. Prentice Hall, p. 414.
- Randolph, M.F., (1994). Design methods for pile groups and piled rafts *Proc. 13th International Conf. on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*, New Delhi (5):61-82.
- Randolph, M. F., & Wroth, C. P. (1978). Analysis of deformation of vertically loaded piles. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 104(ASCE 14262).
- Schmidt, R., Nasr, M., (2004). Screw piles: uses and considerations. *Struct. Mag.*, 29-31.